

Trace-class Monte Carlo Markov Chains for Bayesian Multivariate Linear Regression with Non-Gaussian Errors

Qian Qin and James P. Hobert

Department of Statistics

University of Florida

January 2016

Abstract

Let π denote the intractable posterior density that results when the likelihood from a multivariate linear regression model with errors from a scale mixture of normals is combined with the standard non-informative prior. There is a simple data augmentation algorithm (based on latent data from the mixing density) that can be used to explore π . Let $h(\cdot)$ and d denote the mixing density and the dimension of the regression model, respectively. Hobert et al. (2016) have recently shown that, if h converges to 0 at the origin at an appropriate rate, and $\int_0^\infty u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u) du < \infty$, then the Markov chains underlying the DA algorithm and an alternative Haar PX-DA algorithm are both geometrically ergodic. In fact, something much stronger than geometric ergodicity often holds. Indeed, it is shown in this paper that, under simple conditions on h , the Markov operators defined by the DA and Haar PX-DA Markov chains are *trace-class*, i.e., compact with summable eigenvalues. Many of the mixing densities that satisfy Hobert et al.'s (2016) conditions also satisfy the new conditions developed in this paper. Thus, for this set of mixing densities, the new results provide a substantial strengthening of Hobert et al.'s (2016) conclusion without any additional assumptions. For example, Hobert et al. (2016) showed that the DA and Haar PX-DA Markov chains are geometrically ergodic whenever the mixing density is generalized inverse Gaussian, log-normal, Fréchet (with shape parameter larger than $d/2$), or inverted gamma (with shape parameter larger than $d/2$). The results in this paper show that, in each of these cases, the DA and Haar PX-DA Markov operators are, in fact, trace-class.

Key words and phrases. Compact operator, Data augmentation algorithm, Haar PX-DA algorithm, Heavy-tailed distribution, Scale mixture, Markov operator, Trace-class operator

1 Introduction

Consider the multivariate linear regression model

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1)$$

where Y denotes an $n \times d$ matrix of responses, X is an $n \times p$ matrix of known covariates, β is a $p \times d$ matrix of unknown regression coefficients, $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an unknown positive-definite scale matrix, and ε is an $n \times d$ matrix whose rows are iid random vectors from a scale mixture of multivariate normal densities. In particular, letting ε_i^T denote the i th row of ε , we assume that ε_i has density

$$f_h(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{u}{2} \varepsilon^T \varepsilon\right\} h(u) du,$$

where $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is the so-called *mixing density*. Error densities of this form are often used when heavy-tailed errors are required. For example, it is well known that if h is a Gamma($\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}$) density (with mean 1), then f_h becomes the multivariate Student's t density with ν degrees of freedom.

A Bayesian analysis of the data from this regression model requires a prior on (β, Σ) . We consider an improper default prior that takes the form $\omega(\beta, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-a} I_{\mathcal{S}_d}(\Sigma)$ where $\mathcal{S}_d \subset \mathbb{R}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}}$ denotes the space of $d \times d$ positive definite matrices. Taking $a = (d+1)/2$ yields the independence Jeffreys prior, which is the standard non-informative prior for multivariate location scale problems. Of course, whenever an improper prior is used, one must check that the corresponding posterior distribution is proper. Letting y denote the observed value of Y , the joint density of the data from model (1) can be expressed as

$$f(y|\beta, \Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{u}{2} (y_i - \beta^T x_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - \beta^T x_i)\right\} h(u) du \right].$$

Define

$$m(y) = \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} f(y|\beta, \Sigma) \omega(\beta, \Sigma) d\beta d\Sigma.$$

The posterior distribution is proper precisely when $m(y) < \infty$. Let Λ stand for the $n \times (p+d)$ matrix $(X : y)$. Straightforward arguments (using ideas from Fernández and Steel (1999)) show that, together, the following four conditions are sufficient for posterior propriety:

$$(S1) \text{ rank}(\Lambda) = p + d;$$

$$(S2) \ n > p + 2d - 2a;$$

$$(S3) \ \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u) du < \infty;$$

$$(S4) \ \int_0^\infty u^{-\frac{n-p+2a-2d-1}{2}} h(u) du < \infty.$$

These four conditions are assumed to hold throughout this paper.

Remark 1. Conditions (S1) & (S2) are known to be necessary for posterior propriety (Fernández and Steel, 1999; Hobert et al., 2016).

Remark 2. Condition (S3) clearly concerns the tail behavior of h . Similarly, condition (S4) concerns the behavior of h near the origin, unless $n - p + 2a - 2d - 1$ is negative, which is possible. Note, however, that (S2) implies that $-(n - p + 2a - 2d - 1)/2 < 1/2$. Consequently, if $n - p + 2a - 2d - 1$ is negative, then (S4) is implied by (S3).

Of course, the posterior density of (β, Σ) given the data takes the form

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma|y) = \frac{f(y|\beta, \Sigma) \omega(\beta, \Sigma)}{m(y)}.$$

There is a well-known data augmentation (DA) algorithm that can be used to explore this intractable density (Liu, 1996). Hobert et al. (2016) (hereafter HJK&Q) performed convergence rate analyses of the Markov chains underlying this DA algorithm and an alternative Haar PX-DA algorithm. In this paper, we provide a substantial improvement of HJK&Q's main result. A formal statement of the DA algorithm requires some buildup. Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ have strictly positive elements, and let $Q = Q(z)$ be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix whose i th diagonal element is z_i^{-1} . Also, define $\Omega = (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1}$ and $\mu = (X^T Q^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T Q^{-1} y$. For each $s \geq 0$, define a univariate density as follows

$$\psi(u; s) = b(s) u^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{su}{2}} h(u), \quad (2)$$

where $b(s)$ is the normalizing constant. The DA algorithm uses draws from the inverse Wishart (IW_d) and matrix normal ($N_{p,d}$) distributions. These densities are defined in the Appendix. If the current state of the DA Markov chain is $(\beta_m, \Sigma_m) = (\beta, \Sigma)$, then we simulate the new state, $(\beta_{m+1}, \Sigma_{m+1})$, using the following three-step procedure.

Iteration $m + 1$ of the DA algorithm:

1. Draw $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ independently with $Z_i \sim \psi(\cdot; (\beta^T x_i - y_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\beta^T x_i - y_i))$, and call the result $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$.
 2. Draw

$$\Sigma_{m+1} \sim IW_d\left(n - p + 2a - d - 1, \left(y^T Q^{-1} y - \mu^T \Omega^{-1} \mu\right)^{-1}\right).$$
 3. Draw $\beta_{m+1} \sim N_{p,d}(\mu, \Omega, \Sigma_{m+1})$
-

Denote the DA Markov chain by $\Phi = \{(\beta_m, \Sigma_m)\}_{m=0}^\infty$, and its state space by $\mathsf{X} := \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d$. For positive integer m , let $k^m : \mathsf{X} \times \mathsf{X} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ denote the m -step Markov transition density (Mtd) of Φ , so that if A is a measurable set in X ,

$$P\left((\beta_m, \Sigma_m) \in A \mid (\beta_0, \Sigma_0) = (\beta, \Sigma)\right) = \int_A k^m((\beta', \Sigma') \mid (\beta, \Sigma)) d\beta' d\Sigma'.$$

The 1-step Mtd, $k \equiv k^1$, can be expressed as

$$k((\beta', \Sigma') \mid (\beta, \Sigma)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta' \mid \Sigma', z, y) \pi(\Sigma' \mid z, y) \pi(z \mid \beta, \Sigma, y) dz,$$

where the precise forms of the conditional densities $\pi(z \mid \beta, \Sigma, y)$, $\pi(\Sigma \mid z, y)$, and $\pi(\beta \mid \Sigma, z, y)$ can be gleaned from steps 1., 2., and 3. of the DA algorithm, respectively. If there exist $M : \mathsf{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that, for all m ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \left| k^m(\beta, \Sigma \mid \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\Sigma}) - \pi(\beta, \Sigma \mid y) \right| d\beta d\Sigma \leq M(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\Sigma}) \lambda^m,$$

then the chain Φ is *geometrically ergodic*. The benefits of using a geometrically ergodic Monte Carlo Markov chain have been well documented (see, e.g. Flegal et al., 2008; Jones and Hobert, 2001; Roberts and Rosenthal, 1998). HJK&Q showed that, if h converges to zero at the origin at an appropriate rate, then Φ is geometrically ergodic. In order to state HJK&Q's result, we must introduce three classes of mixing densities. Let $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mixing density. If there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $h(u) = 0$ for all $u \in (0, \eta)$, then we say that h is *zero near the origin*. Now assume that h is strictly positive in a neighborhood of 0. If there exists a $c > -1$ such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(u)}{u^c} \in (0, \infty),$$

then we say that h is *polynomial near the origin* with power c . Finally, if for every $c > 0$, there exists an $\eta_c > 0$ such that the ratio $\frac{h(u)}{u^c}$ is strictly increasing in $(0, \eta_c)$, then we say that h is *faster than polynomial near the origin*. HJK&Q showed that every mixing density that is a member of a standard parametric family is in one of these three classes, and they proved the following result.

Theorem 1 (HJK&Q). *If the mixing density, h , is zero near the origin, or faster than polynomial near the origin, or polynomial near the origin with power $c > \frac{n-p+2a-d-1}{2}$, then the DA Markov chain is geometrically ergodic.*

Remark 3. *It is not necessary to check that (S4) holds before applying Theorem 1 because, together with (S3), the hypothesis of Theorem 1 implies that (S4) is satisfied.*

In this paper, we show that something *much stronger* than geometric ergodicity often holds. We begin with some requisite background material on Markov operators. The posterior density can be used to define an inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathsf{X}} f_1(\beta, \Sigma) f_2(\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma \mid y) d\beta d\Sigma,$$

and norm $\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$ on the Hilbert space

$$L_0^2 = \left\{ f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbf{X}} f^2(\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) d\beta d\Sigma < \infty \text{ and } \int_{\mathbf{X}} f(\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) d\beta d\Sigma = 0 \right\}.$$

Now define the DA Markov operator $K : L_0^2 \rightarrow L_0^2$ as that which takes $f \in L_0^2$ into

$$(Kf)(\beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbf{X}} f(\beta', \Sigma') k((\beta', \Sigma') | (\beta, \Sigma)) d\beta' d\Sigma'.$$

Because K is based on a DA algorithm, it is self-adjoint and positive (Liu et al., 1994). If, in addition, K is also a compact operator, then K has a pure eigenvalue spectrum, all of its eigenvalues reside in $[0, 1)$, and the corresponding Markov chain is geometrically ergodic (see, e.g., Hobert et al., 2011; Mira and Geyer, 1999). We note that the set of Monte Carlo Markov chains whose operators are compact is a small subset of those that are geometrically ergodic (see, e.g., Chan and Geyer, 1994, p. 1755). Taking this a step further, K is said to be *trace-class* if it is compact *and* its eigenvalues are summable (see, e.g. Conway, 1990, p. 267). In this paper, we provide sufficient conditions (on h) for K to be trace-class. The benefits of using trace-class Markov operators are spelled out in Khare and Hobert (2011), and we exploit their results in Section 4.

A statement of our main result requires substantial build-up, so here in the Introduction we present only one simple, but powerful, corollary. Let $\mathbb{R}_+$ denote the set $(0, \infty)$, and define a parametric family of functions $g_{\rho, \tau} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as follows. For $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$g_{\rho, \tau}(u) = \exp \left\{ -\rho(\log u)^2 + \tau \log u \right\}.$$

The following result is a corollary of Theorem 2 in Section 2.

Corollary 1. *Let h be a mixing density. If there exist $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $h(u)/g_{\rho, \tau}(u)$ is non-decreasing in $(0, \eta)$, then the DA Markov operator, K , is trace-class.*

An immediate consequence of Corollary 1 is that, if h is zero near the origin, then K is trace-class. Indeed, for any $(\rho, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, $h(u)/g_{\rho, \tau}(u)$ is constant (and equal to zero) in a neighborhood of the origin. Corollary 1 also implies that if h is a member of one of the standard parametric families that are faster than polynomial near the origin (inverted gamma, log-normal, generalized inverse Gaussian, and Fréchet), then the corresponding Markov operator is trace-class. For example, consider the case where the mixing density is inverted gamma. In particular, let $h(u) = b u^{-\alpha-1} e^{-\gamma/u} I_{\mathbb{R}_+}(u)$, where $\alpha > d/2$, $\gamma > 0$ and $b = b(\alpha, \gamma)$ is the normalizing constant. (We require $\alpha > d/2$ so that condition (S3) is satisfied.) Taking $\rho = 1$ and $\tau = -(\alpha + 1)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{du} \frac{h(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(u)} = b \frac{d}{du} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\gamma}{u} + (\log u)^2 \right\} = \frac{b}{u} \left[\frac{\gamma}{u} + 2 \log u \right] \exp \left\{ -\frac{\gamma}{u} + (\log u)^2 \right\},$$

which is clearly positive in a neighborhood of 0. Hence, Corollary 1 implies that K is trace-class. (This result was established by Jung and Hobert (2014) in the special case where $d = 1$.) Similar

arguments can be used for the other three families (log-normal, generalized inverse Gaussian and Fréchet), and these are given in Section 2. Indeed, for a large class of mixing densities (including the ones just mentioned) our results provide a substantial strengthening of Hobert et al.'s (2016) conclusion *without any additional assumptions*. On the other hand, as we now explain, there are still many mixing densities that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1, but to which our results are not applicable.

The following lemma, which is proven in Section 2, provides a sufficient condition for K to be trace-class, and is one of the key pieces of the proof of Theorem 2 (and hence of Corollary 1).

Lemma 2. *Let h be a mixing density that is strictly positive in a neighborhood of the origin. If there exist $\zeta \in (1, 2)$ and $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^\eta \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} du < \infty, \quad (3)$$

then K is trace-class.

Note that (3) cannot hold if, for each $\eta > 0$,

$$\int_0^\eta \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{2u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} du = \infty. \quad (4)$$

Consequently, when h is strictly positive in a neighborhood of the origin and (4) holds, our results cannot be applied to h . For example, assume that h is polynomial near the origin with power c so that $\frac{h(u)}{u^c} \rightarrow l \in \mathbb{R}_+$ as $u \rightarrow 0$. Then for all u is some small neighborhood of the origin, we have $l/2 < \frac{h(u)}{u^c} < 2l$. So, if $\eta > 0$ is small enough, then for all $u \in (0, \eta)$,

$$\frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{2u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} \geq \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{l}{2} u^c}{\int_0^{2u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} 2l v^c dv} = \frac{b}{u},$$

where $b = b(d, l)$ is a positive constant. Thus, since $\int_0^\eta \frac{1}{u} du$ diverges for every $\eta > 0$, (4) holds. Consequently, our results are not applicable to mixing densities that are polynomial near the origin. Furthermore, in Section 2, we give an example of a mixing density that is faster than polynomial near the origin, but for which (4) holds.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The main result is stated and proven in Section 2. In Section 3, we examine the consequences of the main result when the mixing density is faster than polynomial near the origin. In Section 4, we show that Theorem 2 has important implications for a Haar PX-DA variant of the DA algorithm that was introduced by Roy and Hobert (2010) and extended by HJK&Q. Finally, the Appendix contains the definitions of the IW_d and $N_{p,d}$ families, as well as some technical details.

2 Main Result

In this section, we will be dealing with functions $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that are strictly positive and differentiable in a neighborhood of the origin. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the set of all such functions, and let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions whose reciprocals are integrable near the origin, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \kappa \in \mathcal{A} : \int_0^\eta \frac{1}{\kappa(u)} du < \infty \text{ for some } \eta > 0 \right\}.$$

The function $\kappa(u) = u(\log u)^2$ is a member of $\mathcal{K}$, and we will use this fact in the sequel. Now, for fixed $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ and fixed $\zeta \in (1, 2)$, let $\mathcal{C}(\kappa, \zeta)$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{A}$ containing the functions g that satisfy the following three conditions:

1. $u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u)$ is bounded in a neighborhood of the origin,
2. $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \kappa(u)u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u) = 0$,
3. There exist $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left(\kappa'(u) + \frac{d}{2} \frac{\kappa(u)}{u} \right) \frac{g(u)}{g(\zeta u)} = l_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa(u)g'(u)}{g(\zeta u)} = l_2. \quad (5)$$

The following result is proven in the Appendix.

Proposition 1. Fix $(\rho, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $g_{\rho, \tau} \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, 3/2)$, with $\kappa(u) = u(\log u)^2$. Furthermore, $u^{\frac{d}{2}}g_{\rho, \tau}(u)$ is non-decreasing in a neighborhood of the origin.

Here is our main result.

Theorem 2. Let h be a mixing density. Each of the following three conditions is sufficient for the corresponding DA Markov operator, K , to be trace-class.

1. The mixing density h is zero near the origin.
2. There exist $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$, $\zeta \in (1, 2)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, \zeta)$ such that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(u)}{g(u)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
3. There exist $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$, $\zeta \in (1, 2)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, \zeta)$ such that both $u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u)$ and $\frac{h(u)}{g(u)}$ are non-decreasing in a neighborhood of the origin.

Remark 4. Suppose that $h \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, \zeta)$. Then, by taking $g = h$, the second condition of Theorem 2 is satisfied, so K is trace-class. However, this argument requires that h be differentiable in a neighborhood of the origin. The surrogate function, g , allows us to handle non-differentiable mixing densities.

Remark 5. Note that Corollary 1 (from the Introduction) follows immediately from Theorem 2 and Proposition 1.

Our proof of Theorem 2 is based on three lemmas, which we now state and prove.

Lemma 1. *Let h be a mixing density, and let $\psi(u; s)$ be as in (2). Suppose there exist $\zeta < 2$ and $\nu : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \nu(u) du < \infty$ such that*

$$\psi(u; s) \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{(\zeta - 1)us}{2} \right\} \nu(u) \quad (6)$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $s \in [0, \infty)$. Then K is trace-class.

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, define $r_i = r_i(\beta, \Sigma) = (\beta^T x_i - y_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\beta^T x_i - y_i)$. Of course, $r_i \geq 0$. First, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} k((\beta, \Sigma) | (\beta, \Sigma)) d\beta d\Sigma < \infty,$$

(see, e.g., Khare and Hobert, 2011). Routine calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\beta, \Sigma | z, y) \pi(z | \beta, \Sigma, y) &= \frac{|\Sigma|^{-\frac{n+2a}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i \right\}}{\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n+2a}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i \right\} d\beta d\Sigma} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi(z_i; r_i) \\ &\leq \frac{|\Sigma|^{-\frac{n+2a}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(2-\zeta)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i \right\}}{\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n+2a}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i \right\} d\beta d\Sigma} \prod_{i=1}^n \nu(z_i). \end{aligned}$$

The transformation $\Sigma' = \Sigma/(2 - \zeta)$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n+2a}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(2-\zeta)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i \right\} d\beta d\Sigma \\ = \frac{1}{(2-\zeta)^{\frac{(n+2a-d-1)d}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n+2a}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i \right\} d\beta d\Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \pi(\beta, \Sigma | z, y) \pi(z | \beta, \Sigma, y) d\beta d\Sigma \leq \frac{1}{(2-\zeta)^{\frac{(n+2a-d-1)d}{2}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \nu(z_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} k((\beta, \Sigma) | (\beta, \Sigma)) d\beta d\Sigma &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \pi(\beta, \Sigma | z, y) \pi(z | \beta, \Sigma, y) d\beta d\Sigma dz \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2-\zeta)^{\frac{(n+2a-d-1)d}{2}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \nu(u) du \right)^n < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma was given in the Introduction, and is restated here for convenience.

Lemma 2. Let h be a mixing density that is strictly positive in a neighborhood of the origin. If there exist $\zeta \in (1, 2)$ and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\eta \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} du < \infty, \quad (3)$$

then K is trace-class.

Proof. First, note that

$$\psi(u; s) = \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{su}{2}} h(u)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{sv}{2}} h(v) dv} \leq \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{su}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{sv}{2}} h(v) dv} \leq \frac{\exp\left\{\frac{(\zeta-1)su}{2}\right\} u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv}.$$

By Lemma 1, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} du < \infty.$$

But, for any $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\int_\eta^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} du \leq \frac{\int_\eta^\infty u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u) du}{\int_0^{\zeta \eta} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} < \infty,$$

and the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 3. Let $g \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, \zeta)$ for some $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ and some $\zeta \in (1, 2)$. By assumption, there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ such that g is strictly positive and differentiable on $(0, \eta_0)$. Then for any $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$, we have

$$\int_0^\eta \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv} du < \infty.$$

Proof. Since $u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)$ is bounded in a neighborhood of the origin, we have

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv = 0.$$

Hence, an application of L'Hôpital's rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa(u) u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv} &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\kappa'(u) u^{\frac{d}{2}} + \kappa(u) \frac{d}{2} u^{\frac{d}{2}-1}] g(u) + \kappa(u) u^{\frac{d}{2}} g'(u)}{\zeta(\zeta u)^{\frac{d}{2}} g(\zeta u)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left\{ \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left(\kappa'(u) + \frac{d \kappa(u)}{2u} \right) \frac{g(u)}{g(\zeta u)} + \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa(u) g'(u)}{g(\zeta u)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{l_1 + l_2}{\zeta^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Put $l_3 = (l_1 + l_2)/\zeta^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$. It follows from (7) that for any $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$, there exists $0 < \eta_1 < \eta$ such that

$$\frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}}g(v) dv} \leq \frac{l_3 + 1}{\kappa(u)}$$

whenever $u \in (0, \eta_1)$. Then, since $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists $\eta_2 \in (0, \eta_1)$ such that

$$\int_0^{\eta_2} \frac{1}{\kappa(u)} du < \infty .$$

Furthermore, since g is continuous on $[\eta_2, \eta]$, $\int_{\eta_2}^{\eta} u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u) du < \infty$. Putting all of this together, we have for any $\eta < \eta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\eta} \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}}g(v) dv} du &= \int_0^{\eta_2} \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}}g(v) dv} du + \int_{\eta_2}^{\eta} \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}}g(v) dv} du \\ &\leq \int_0^{\eta_2} \frac{l_3 + 1}{\kappa(u)} du + \frac{\int_{\eta_2}^{\eta} u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u) du}{\int_0^{\zeta \eta_2} v^{\frac{d}{2}}g(v) dv} \\ &< \infty . \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that h is zero near the origin, and define $\eta_0 = \sup \{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \int_0^{\eta} u^{\frac{d}{2}}h(u) du = 0 \}$. Clearly, $J := \int_0^{\frac{3\eta_0}{2}} u^{\frac{d}{2}}h(u) du > 0$. Now, for $s \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v^{\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\frac{sv}{2}}h(v) dv \geq \int_0^{\frac{3\eta_0}{2}} v^{\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\frac{sv}{2}}h(v) dv \geq J e^{-\frac{3\eta_0 s}{4}} .$$

Therefore, for $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $s \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\psi(u; s) = \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\frac{su}{2}}h(u)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v^{\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\frac{sv}{2}}h(v) dv} \leq J^{-1}u^{\frac{d}{2}}h(u)e^{-\frac{su}{2} + \frac{3\eta_0 s}{4}} .$$

Now, by considering $u \geq \eta_0$ and $u < \eta_0$ separately, we can see that

$$\psi(u; s) \leq J^{-1}u^{\frac{d}{2}}h(u)e^{\frac{su}{4}}$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $s \in [0, \infty)$. Hence, (6) of Lemma 1 holds with $\zeta = 3/2$ and $\nu(u) = J^{-1}u^{\frac{d}{2}}h(u)$, so the result follows.

We now prove that the second condition is sufficient. Assume that there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, \zeta)$ such that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(u)}{g(u)} = l \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then by Lemma 3, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{\eta} \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}}g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}}g(v) dv} du < \infty ,$$

and such that

$$\frac{l}{2} \leq \frac{h(u)}{g(u)} \leq 2l$$

whenever $u \in (0, \zeta\eta)$. It follows that

$$\int_0^\eta \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} du \leq 4 \int_0^\eta \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv} du ,$$

and the result follows from Lemma 2.

Finally, we prove that the third condition is sufficient. Note first that we may assume that h is not zero near the origin, since, otherwise, the result follows immediately from condition (1). Assume that there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, \zeta)$ such that $u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)$ and $\frac{h(u)}{g(u)}$ are both non-decreasing near the origin. By Lemma 3, there exists $\eta' > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{\eta'} \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv} du < \infty .$$

Now let $\eta \in (0, \eta')$ be such that g and h are both strictly positive for $u \in (0, \eta)$, and $u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)$ and $\frac{h(u)}{g(u)}$ are both non-decreasing in that interval. For $u \in (0, \eta)$, let $t(u) = h(u)/g(u)$. For any $u \in (0, \eta/\zeta)$, we have

$$\int_u^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv \geq \int_u^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} t(u) g(v) dv , \quad (8)$$

since t is non-decreasing. If $v \in [u, \zeta u]$, then $v \geq \frac{\zeta(v-u)}{\zeta-1} \geq 0$. For any $u \in (0, \eta/\zeta)$, we have

$$\int_u^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv \geq \int_u^{\zeta u} \left[\frac{\zeta(v-u)}{\zeta-1} \right]^{\frac{d}{2}} g\left(\frac{\zeta(v-u)}{\zeta-1} \right) dv = \frac{\zeta-1}{\zeta} \int_0^{\zeta u} w^{\frac{d}{2}} g(w) dw . \quad (9)$$

It follows from (8) and (9) that, for $u \in (0, \eta/\zeta)$, we have

$$\frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} \leq \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_u^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} \leq \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} t(u) g(u)}{\left(\frac{\zeta-1}{\zeta} \right) t(u) \int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv} = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta-1} \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv} .$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^\eta \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} du \leq \frac{\zeta}{\zeta-1} \int_0^\eta \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv} du \leq \frac{\zeta}{\zeta-1} \int_0^{\eta'} \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} g(u)}{\int_0^{\zeta u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} g(v) dv} du < \infty ,$$

and the result follows from Lemma 2. $\square$

3 Mixing densities that are faster than polynomial near the origin

In this section, we provide details to back the claims made in the Introduction. We begin by using Corollary 1 to show that, if h is log-normal, generalized inverse Gaussian, or Fréchet, then the

DA Markov operator is trace-class. We then provide an example of a mixing density that is faster than polynomial near the origin, but for which (4) holds. Again, this shows that our result is not applicable to this mixing density.

Let h be a $\text{GIG}(v, \alpha, \gamma)$ density, so that

$$h(u) = b u^{v-1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\alpha u + \frac{\gamma}{u} \right) \right\} I_{\mathbb{R}_+}(u) ,$$

where $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $v \in \mathbb{R}$, and $b = b(v, \alpha, \gamma)$ is the normalizing constant. It's easy to see that conditions (S3) & (S4) hold for all members of this family. Taking $\rho = 1$ and $\tau = v - 1$ in Corollary 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du} \frac{h(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(u)} &= b \frac{d}{du} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha u}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2u} + (\log u)^2 \right\} \\ &= b \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2u^2} + \frac{2 \log u}{u} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha u}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2u} + (\log u)^2 \right\} , \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly non-negative in a neighborhood of 0. Thus, K is trace-class.

Suppose h is a Fréchet(α, γ) density, i.e.,

$$h(u) = b u^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\frac{\gamma^\alpha}{u^\alpha}} I_{\mathbb{R}_+}(u) ,$$

where $\alpha, \gamma > 0$, and $b = b(\alpha, \gamma)$ is the normalizing constant. Assume that $\alpha > d/2$ so that condition (S3) holds. Taking $\rho = 1$ and $\tau = -(\alpha + 1)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{du} \frac{h(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(u)} = b \frac{d}{du} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\gamma^\alpha}{u^\alpha} + (\log u)^2 \right\} = b \left(\frac{\alpha \gamma^\alpha}{u^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{2 \log u}{u} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\gamma^\alpha}{u^\alpha} + (\log u)^2 \right\} ,$$

which is clearly non-negative in a neighborhood of 0, so K is trace-class.

Finally, let h be a Log-normal(μ, γ) density, so that

$$h(u) = \frac{b}{u} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\gamma} (\log u - \mu)^2 \right\} I_{\mathbb{R}_+}(u) ,$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b = b(\gamma, \mu)$ is the normalizing constant. Every member of this family satisfies conditions (S3) & (S4). Taking $\rho = \frac{1}{2\gamma}$ and $\tau = \frac{\mu}{\gamma} - 1$, we have

$$\frac{h(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(u)} = b e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\gamma}} ,$$

and the result follows.

We end this section by showing that there exist mixing densities that are faster than polynomial near the origin, but are not in the domain of application of Theorem 2. Consider the following mixing density

$$h(u) = b \exp \left\{ (\log u) \log(-\log u) - \left(\frac{d}{2} + 1 \right) \log u \right\} I_{(0,1)}(u) ,$$

where $b = b(d)$ is the normalizing constant. For any real c , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{du} \frac{h(u)}{u^c} &= \left\{ \frac{d}{du} \left[(\log u) \log(-\log u) - \left(c + \frac{d}{2} + 1\right) \log u \right] \right\} \frac{h(u)}{u^c} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{u} \log(-\log u) - \frac{(d+2c)}{2u} \right] \frac{h(u)}{u^c} .\end{aligned}$$

When $u > 0$ is small, $\frac{d}{du} \frac{h(u)}{u^c} > 0$, so $h(u)$ is indeed faster than polynomial near the origin. We now show that (4) holds. Define

$$\nu_h(u) = \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{\int_0^{2u} v^{\frac{d}{2}} h(v) dv} ,$$

and let $\phi(u) = -u(\log u)I_{(0,1)}(u)$. An application of L'Hôpital's rule yields

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \phi(u) \nu_h(u) &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{d}{du} [\phi(u) u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)]}{2(2u)^{\frac{d}{2}} h(2u)} \\ &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left[\phi'(u) + \frac{\phi(u) \log(-\log u)}{u} \right] \frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{2(2u)^{\frac{d}{2}} h(2u)} .\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\phi'(u) + \frac{\phi(u) \log(-\log u)}{u} = -(\log u) \log(-\log u) - \log u - 1 ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{u^{\frac{d}{2}} h(u)}{2(2u)^{\frac{d}{2}} h(2u)} &= \frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{ (\log u) \log(-\log u) - \log u - \log[-\log(2u)] \log(2u) + \log(2u) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -(\log 2) \log[-\log(2u)] + (\log u) \log \frac{\log u}{\log u + \log 2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\exp \left\{ (\log u) \log \frac{\log u}{\log u + \log 2} \right\}}{(-\log 2 - \log u)^{\log 2}} .\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \phi(u) \nu_h(u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{-(\log u) \log(-\log u) - \log u - 1}{(-\log 2 - \log u)^{\log 2}} \exp \left\{ (\log u) \log \frac{\log u}{\log u + \log 2} \right\} .$$

It is straightforward to show that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} (\log u) \log \frac{\log u}{\log u + \log 2} = -\log 2 ,$$

and that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{-(\log u) \log(-\log u) - \log u - 1}{(-\log 2 - \log u)^{\log 2}} = \infty .$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \phi(u) \nu_h(u) = \infty .$$

It follows that, for all η in a small neighborhood of the origin, we have

$$\int_0^\eta \nu_h(u) du = \int_0^\eta \frac{\phi(u) \nu_h(u)}{\phi(u)} du \geq \int_0^\eta \frac{1}{\phi(u)} du = \infty .$$

4 The Haar PX-DA algorithm

The Haar PX-DA algorithm always exists in the special case where $a = \frac{d+1}{2}$, but, outside of this case, its existence requires an additional regularity condition. Indeed, to define the Haar PX-DA algorithm, we must assume that

$$\int_0^\infty t^{n+\frac{(d+1-2a)d}{2}-1} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n h(tz_i) \right] dt < \infty \quad (10)$$

for (almost) all $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. HJK&Q show that (10) holds if

$$\int_0^\infty u^{\frac{(d+1-2a)d}{2}} h(u) du < \infty. \quad (11)$$

Note that (11) is automatic when $a = \frac{d+1}{2}$. Now assume that (10) holds, and define another parametric family of univariate density functions given by

$$e(v; z) = \frac{v^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n h(vz_i) I_{\mathbb{R}_+}(v)}{\int_0^\infty t^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n h(tz_i) dt}.$$

Let $\Phi^* = \{(\beta_m^*, \Sigma_m^*)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ denote the Haar PX-DA Markov chain. If the current state of the chain is $(\beta_m^*, \Sigma_m^*) = (\beta, \Sigma)$, then we simulate the new state, $(\beta_{m+1}^*, \Sigma_{m+1}^*)$, using the following four-step procedure.

Iteration $m + 1$ of the Haar PX-DA algorithm:

1. Draw $\{Z'_i\}_{i=1}^n$ independently with $Z'_i \sim \psi(\cdot; (\beta^T x_i - y_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\beta^T x_i - y_i))$, and call the result $z' = (z'_1, \dots, z'_n)$.
 2. Draw $V \sim e(\cdot; z')$, call the result v , and set $z = (vz'_1, \dots, vz'_n)^T$.
 3. Draw

$$\Sigma_{m+1}^* \sim \text{IW}_d \left(n - p + 2a - d - 1, \left(y^T Q^{-1} y - \mu^T \Omega^{-1} \mu \right)^{-1} \right).$$
 4. Draw $\beta_{m+1}^* \sim \text{N}_{p,d}(\mu, \Omega, \Sigma_{m+1}^*)$
-

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and Theorems 1 and 2 from Khare and Hobert (2011).

Corollary 2. *Let h be a mixing density such that (10) holds. Let K and K^* denote the Markov operators associated with the DA and Haar PX-DA Markov chains, respectively. Assume that one of the three conditions of Theorem 2 holds. Then K^* is trace-class. Moreover, letting $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{\lambda_i^*\}_{i=1}^\infty$ denote the ordered eigenvalues of K and K^* , respectively, we have that $0 \leq \lambda_i^* \leq \lambda_i < 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lambda_i^* < \lambda_i$ for at least one $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Acknowledgment. The second author was supported by NSF Grant DMS-15-11945.

Appendices

A Matrix Normal and Inverse Wishart Densities

Matrix Normal Distribution Suppose Z is an $r \times c$ random matrix with density

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{rc}{2}} |A|^{\frac{c}{2}} |B|^{\frac{r}{2}}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left\{ A^{-1} (z - \theta) B^{-1} (z - \theta)^T \right\} \right],$$

where θ is an $r \times c$ matrix, A and B are $r \times r$ and $c \times c$ positive definite matrices. Then Z is said to have a *matrix normal distribution* and we denote this by $Z \sim N_{r,c}(\theta, A, B)$ (Arnold, 1981, Chapter 17).

Inverse Wishart Distribution Suppose W is an $r \times r$ random positive definite matrix with density

$$f_W(w) = \frac{|w|^{-\frac{m+r+1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Theta^{-1} w^{-1}) \right\}}{2^{\frac{mr}{2}} \pi^{\frac{r(r-1)}{4}} |\Theta|^{\frac{m}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(m+1-i))} I_{\mathcal{S}_r}(W),$$

where $m > r-1$ and Θ is an $r \times r$ positive definite matrix. Then W is said to have an *inverse Wishart distribution* and this is denoted by $W \sim IW_r(m, \Theta)$.

B Proof of Proposition 1

Proof of Proposition 1. Fix $(\rho, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. First, that $u^{\frac{d}{2}} g_{\rho, \tau}(u)$ is non-decreasing in a neighborhood of the origin is obvious. To show that $g_{\rho, \tau} \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, 3/2)$ with $\kappa(u) = u(\log u)^2$, we demonstrate that $g_{\rho, \tau}$ satisfies the three conditions that define $\mathcal{C}(\kappa, 3/2)$. Clearly $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} g_{\rho, \tau}(u) = 0$, hence $u^{\frac{d}{2}} g_{\rho, \tau}(u)$ is bounded in a neighborhood of the origin. Moreover,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \kappa(u) u^{\frac{d}{2}} g_{\rho, \tau}(u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} u(\log u)^2 u^{\frac{d}{2}} g_{\rho, \tau}(u) = 0.$$

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left(\kappa'(u) + \frac{d}{2} \frac{\kappa(u)}{u} \right) \frac{g_{\rho, \tau}(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(3u/2)} \\
&= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{d+2}{2} (\log u)^2 + 2 \log u \right] \frac{g_{\rho, \tau}(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(3u/2)} \\
&= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{d+2}{2} + \frac{2}{\log u} \right] \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left[(\log u)^2 \exp \left\{ \rho \left(\log \frac{3u}{2} \right)^2 - \tau \log \frac{3u}{2} - \rho (\log u)^2 + \tau \log u \right\} \right] \\
&= \frac{d+2}{2} \exp \left\{ \log \frac{3}{2} \left(\rho \log \frac{3}{2} - \tau \right) \right\} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left[(\log u)^2 u^{2\rho \log \frac{3}{2}} \right] \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa(u) g'_{\rho, \tau}(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(3u/2)} &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{u (\log u)^2 g'_{\rho, \tau}(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(3u/2)} \\
&= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left[u (\log u)^2 \left(\frac{\tau - 2\rho \log u}{u} \right) \frac{g_{\rho, \tau}(u)}{g_{\rho, \tau}(3u/2)} \right] \\
&= \exp \left\{ \log \frac{3}{2} \left(\rho \log \frac{3}{2} - \tau \right) \right\} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \left[(\tau - 2\rho \log u) (\log u)^2 u^{2\rho \log \frac{3}{2}} \right] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that (5) holds with $\zeta = 3/2$ and $l_1 = l_2 = 0$. Thus $g_{\rho, \tau} \in \mathcal{C}(\kappa, 3/2)$. □

References

- ARNOLD, S. F. (1981). *The Theory of Linear Models and Multivariate Analysis*. Wiley, New York.
- CHAN, K. S. and GEYER, C. J. (1994). Comment on “Markov chains for exploring posterior distributions” by L. Tierney. *The Annals of Statistics* **22** 1747–1758.
- CONWAY, J. B. (1990). *A Course in Functional Analysis*. 2nd ed. Springer, New York.
- FERNÁNDEZ, C. and STEEL, M. F. J. (1999). Multivariate Student-t regression models: Pitfalls and inference. *Biometrika* **86** 153–167.
- FLEGAL, J. M., HARAN, M. and JONES, G. L. (2008). Markov chain Monte Carlo: Can we trust the third significant figure? *Statistical Science* **23** 250–260.
- HOBERT, J. P., JUNG, Y. J., KHARE, K. and QIN, Q. (2016). Convergence analysis of MCMC algorithms for Bayesian multivariate linear regression with non-Gaussian errors. Tech. rep., University of Florida. ArXiv:1506.03113v2.

- HOBERT, J. P., ROY, V. and ROBERT, C. P. (2011). Improving the convergence properties of the data augmentation algorithm with an application to Bayesian mixture modelling. *Statistical Science* **26** 332–351.
- JONES, G. L. and HOBERT, J. P. (2001). Honest exploration of intractable probability distributions via Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Statistical Science* **16** 312–34.
- JUNG, Y. J. and HOBERT, J. P. (2014). Spectral properties of MCMC algorithms for Bayesian linear regression with generalized hyperbolic errors. *Statistics & Probability Letters* **95** 92–100.
- KHARE, K. and HOBERT, J. P. (2011). A spectral analytic comparison of trace-class data augmentation algorithms and their sandwich variants. *The Annals of Statistics* **39** 2585–2606.
- LIU, C. (1996). Bayesian robust multivariate linear regression with incomplete data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **91** 1219–1227.
- LIU, J. S., WONG, W. H. and KONG, A. (1994). Covariance structure of the Gibbs sampler with applications to comparisons of estimators and augmentation schemes. *Biometrika* **81** 27–40.
- MIRA, A. and GEYER, C. J. (1999). Ordering Monte Carlo Markov chains. Tech. Rep. No. 632, School of Statistics, University of Minnesota.
- ROBERTS, G. O. and ROSENTHAL, J. S. (1998). Markov chain Monte Carlo: Some practical implications of theoretical results (with discussion). *Canadian Journal of Statistics* **26** 5–31.
- ROY, V. and HOBERT, J. P. (2010). On Monte Carlo methods for Bayesian multivariate regression models with heavy-tailed errors. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **101** 1190–1202.